

DISCURSOS TRADICIONALES Y PARTICULARES

Festschrift für Johannes Kabatek zum 60. Geburtstag

CRISTINA BLEORTU

CARLOTA DE BENITO MORENO

DAVID PAUL GERARDS

PHILIPP OBRIST

ÁLVARO S. OCTAVIO DE TOLEDO Y HUERTA

ALBERT WALL

(eds.)

DISCURSOS TRADICIONALES Y PARTICULARES

**Festschrift für Johannes Kabatek
zum 60. Geburtstag**

CRISTINA BLEORTU
CARLOTA DE BENITO MORENO
DAVID PAUL GERARDS
PHILIPP OBRIST
ÁLVARO S. OCTAVIO DE TOLEDO Y HUERTA
ALBERT WALL
(eds.)



Cualquier forma de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública o transformación de esta obra solo puede ser realizada con la autorización de sus titulares, salvo excepción prevista por la ley. Diríjase a CEDRO (Centro Español de Derechos Reprográficos) si necesita fotocopiar o escanear algún fragmento de esta obra.

(www.conlicencia.com; 91 702 19 70 / 93 272 04 47)

Reservados todos los derechos

© Iberoamericana, 2025
Amor de Dios, 1 – E-28014 Madrid
Tel.: +34 91 429 35 22

© Vervuert, 2025
Elisabethenstr. 3-9 – D-60594 Frankfurt am Main
Tel.: +49 69 597 46 17

info@iberoamericanalibros.com
www.iberoamericana-vervuert.es

ISBN 978-84-9192-493-7 (Iberoamericana)
ISBN 978-3-96869-698-0 (Vervuert)
ISBN 978-3-96869-700-0 (PDF)
ISBN 978-3-96869-699-7 (EPUB)

Depósito Legal: M-1471-2025

Cubierta: Diego Calvo Cubero

Impreso en España

Índice

PROEMIUM

CRISTINA BLEORȚU, CARLOTA DE BENITO MORENO, DAVID PAUL GERARDS, PHILIPP OBRIST, ÁLVARO S. OCTAVIO DE TOLEDO Y HUERTA, ALBERT WALL	13
---	----

LINGVISTICĂ COȘERIANĂ ȘI TEORIA LINGVISTICĂ

PRECISIONES SOBRE LA CONCEPTUALIZACIÓN DE LA “LENGUA FUNCIONAL” EN LA LINGÜÍSTICA COSERIANA

MIGUEL CASAS GÓMEZ.....	29
-------------------------	----

LA TIPOLOGÍA SEGÚN E. COSERIU (Y LAS OTRAS)

ADOLFO ELIZAINCÍN	37
-------------------------	----

LA CORRESPONDENCIA ENTRE RAMÓN MENÉNDEZ PIDAL Y EUGENIO COSERIU

INÉS FERNÁNDEZ-ORDÓÑEZ	43
------------------------------	----

LUCIAN BLAGA ȘI LIMBA „TÄCUTĂ”

CRISTINEL MUNTEANU.....	53
-------------------------	----

,PURISMUS‘ — FRAME-THEORETISCHE MODELLIERUNG SPRACHGESCHICHTLICHER KONZEPTUALISIERUNGEN

SARAH DESSÌ SCHMID.....	59
-------------------------	----

LANGUAGE AS A SIGN: A NOTE ON SAUSSURE

JÜRGEN TRABANT.....	67
---------------------	----

PE LIMBA LUI CoŞERIU, SAU DIN NOU DESPRE A PRIORI-UL ISTORIC CORNEL-DUMITRU VÎLCU	75
HELMUT GIPPER AND EUGENIO COSERIU ON BASIC COLOUR WORDS KLAAS WILLEMS.....	83
 TRADICIONES DISCURSIVAS	
QUEBRA DE CONCORDÂNCIA DE GÊNERO E/OU NÚMERO NO HEBRAICO BÍBLICO E FIGURAS DE LINGUAGEM: PARANOMÁSIA NUMÉRICA, FIGURA DO	
TODO E SUAS PARTES, ANALOGIA ALESSANDRA CASTILHO DA COSTA.....	91
¿QUÉ SON 60 AÑOS EN LA VIDA... DE UN MANUSCRITO? O EL ANÓMALO CASO DEL FUERO DE ALCARAZ MÓNICA CASTILLO LLUCH	99
EL CAMBIO LINGÜÍSTICO ENTRE LA INTERTEXTUALIDAD Y LA TRADICIONALIDAD DISCURSIVA SANTIAGO DEL REY QUESADA	105
ALGUMAS CONTRIBUIÇÕES DA ROMANÍSTICA ALEMÃ NO BRASIL VERENA KEWITZ.....	111
SOBRE LA FÓRMULA DISCURSIVA DE DESPEDIDA <i>CUIDATE</i> ANA LLOPIS CARDONA	117
EL NIVEL INDIVIDUAL DEL LENGUAJE Y LAS TRADICIONES DISCURSIVAS. UN BREVÍSIMO EJERCICIO DE ANASTILOSIS ARACELI LÓPEZ SERENA.....	123
ROMANISTIK IM SESSEL? NOCH EINMAL ZUR HISTORIZITÄT ALS WESENSMERKMAL VON DISKURSTRADITIONEN UND EINZELSPRACHEN ESME WINTER-FROEMEL	129
 METHODE UND KORPUS	
ALGÚNS PROBLEMAS NA EXPLOTACIÓN DOS CORPUS TEXTUAIS DO GALEGO PARA A ELABORACIÓN DO <i>DICIONARIO HISTÓRICO ETIMOLÓXICO DA LINGUA GALEGA</i> MANUEL GONZÁLEZ GONZÁLEZ	139

LA ENCAPSULACIÓN ANAFÓRICA EN CLAVE EXPERIMENTAL CELIA HERNÁNDEZ PÉREZ, MATHIS TEUCHER, ÓSCAR LOUREDA	145
SOBRE LA COMPLEJIDAD SINTÁCTICA DE LOS TEXTOS LITERARIOS DEL ESPAÑOL A TRAVÉS DEL TIEMPO ROBERT HESSELBACH.....	155
FINDING WHAT'S NOT THERE: HOW TO RETRIEVE 'NOVEL' BARE NOUNS FROM CORPORA MARIANNE HUNDT.....	167
THE FALLACY OF STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY. EXAMPLES FROM SPANISH AND PORTUGUESE VOLKER NOLL	175
ASTÉRIX CHEZ LES ROMANISTES. COMICFORSCHUNG UND DIE FREIBURGER GRAŁ-SAMMLUNG CLAUS D. PUSCH.....	183
UN BREVE APUNTE SOBRE LAS FRECUENCIAS LÉXICAS GUILLERMO ROJO	189
EL VALOR DE LA RIQUEZA LÈXICA EN ELS CORPUS TEXTUALS JOAN TORRUELLA	195
INTUICIONES METALINGÜÍSTICAS. ¿QUÉ NOS PUEDEN APORTAR? SENTA ZEUGIN	201
 LINGUISTIQUE ROMANE	
GRICE MEETS FLAMENCA: ON THE ART OF INTERPRETING MINIMAL UTTERANCES ANDREAS DUFTER.....	209
« DECURSIS OMNIBUS »/ « TOUTES CHOSES DISCOURSES » : UN ASPECT INCONNU DE LA SÉMANTIQUE DE M. FR. DISCOURIR ET L'HISTOIRE DU CONCEPT PHILOSOPHIQUE DE DISCOURS FRANZ LEBSANFT	217

À PROPOS DES CONCEPTIONS DIVERGENTES DU NÉOLOGISME DANS LES ÉTUDES DE NÉOLOGIE ROMANE CHRISTOPHE GÉRARD.....	223
LA LINGÜÍSTICA ROMÀNICA ENTRE LA COMPARACIÓ I LA HISTÒRIA ÁNGEL LÓPEZ GARCÍA-MOLINS.....	233
WILHELM MEYER-LÜBKE E O ENSINO DA FILOLOGIA PORTUGUESA E ROMÂNICA NA UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA CLARINDA MAIA	241
LINGUAS MINORITARIAS E MINORIZADAS	
ASPECTOS POLÍTICOS DOS ESTUDOS DE GALEGO NA REPÚBLICA DEMOCRÁTICA ALEMÁ: O CASO DE LEIPZIG Luís CALVO SALGADO	251
EL HABLA DE LA FRONTERA URUGUAYO-BRASILEÑA: ¿ARTIGAS, ALDEA LINGÜÍSTICA GLOBAL? BÁRBARA GARRIDO SÁNCHEZ-ANDRADE	257
WO IN RAUM UND SPRACHE? ELVIRA GLASER.....	263
ORIGEN DE LA VOZ GALLEGА ‘MIXIRIQUEIRO’. O PORTUGUÉS FRONTE AO GALEGO EN MARTIN SARMIENTO, CONTRA DUARTE NUNEZ DE LEÃO HENRIQUE MONTEAGUDO	269
DOM IN ENGADINESE AND THE ROLE OF REFERENTIALITY GEORG A. KAISER, KLAUS VON HEUSINGER	275
CAN A MIXED LANGUAGE BE CREATED ON THE FLY? PUTTING ECUADORAN MEDIA LENGUA TO THE TEST JOHN M. LIPSKI	281
OS LINGÜISTAS ANTE O QUE CHAMA(BA)MOS UNHA LINGUA XOSÉ LUÍS REGUEIRA.....	289
VLACH AROMANIAN IN KALOMOIRA (GREECE): A FIRST APPROACH IOANNA SITARIDOU, DAVID GARDNER	295

LINGÜÍSTICA PORTUGUESA

A DIALETOLOGIA PODE SER ACÚSTICA E A FONÉTICA PODE SER DIALETOLÓGICA	
FERNANDO BRISSOS	305
AS MÚLTIPLAS CARAS DA PRIMEIRA PESSOA DO PLURAL: ENTRE INDEXICALIDADE E GENERECIDADE	
YOSELIN HENRIQUES PESTANA.....	313
DAR COR À NEGAÇÃO: <i>PATAVINA</i> E <i>PINGO</i>	
ANA MARIA MARTINS.....	319
A ESCRITA ANTES DA NORMA: A EMERGÊNCIA DA LÍNGUA VERNACULAR NO FORAL DE JUNQUEIRA DE VILARIÇA	
JOANA SERAFIM	327
O PORTUGUÊS DOS BRASILEIROS SÃO MUITOS, VIU?	
JOSÉ DA SILVA SIMÓES.....	333
PARA A ABORDAGEM SOCIOCOGNITIVA DO PLURICENTRISMO DO PORTUGUÊS: AGENDA E DESAFIOS	
AUGUSTO SOARES DA SILVA.....	339

HISPANIC LINGUISTICS

NOTAS SOBRE CONTACTO LINGÜÍSTICO E HIBRIDACIÓN: A PROPÓSITO DEL ALEMAÑOL	
HÉCTOR ÁLVAREZ MELLA	349
UN PLAGIO DE TORRES VILLARROEL	
PEDRO ÁLVAREZ DE MIRANDA	355
LA CONFIGURACIÓN HISTÓRICA DE LOS APÉNDICES GENERALIZADORES: EL CASO DE <i>Y TODO ESO</i>	
MARGARITA BORREGUERO ZULOAGA	361
CONVERSACIÓN, DIÁLOGO, COLOQUIO: SU ENTRADA EN CASTELLANO	
RAFAEL CANO AGUILAR	369

HACIA UNA SEMÁNTICA INTEGRAL MANUEL CASADO VELARDE	375
SOBRE LA PERVIVENCIA DE UN MITO LINGÜÍSTICO: LA DISIMILACIÓN DE [L] – [L] EN COMBINACIONES DE CLÍTICOS DE OBJETO ANDRÉS ENRIQUE-ARIAS.....	385
REFLEXIONES ACERCA DEL ORIGEN JURÍDICO DEL PRO-NOMBRE PROPIO <i>FULANO</i> WILTRUD MIHATSCH	391
CUANDO ESO SIGNIFICA <i>AQUELLO</i> MANUEL PÉREZ SALDANYA	399
TRES ETAPAS EN EL ESTUDIO DEL ESPAÑOL COLOQUIAL SALVADOR PONS BORDERÍA	405
NOTA MÍNIMA ESDRÚJULA SOBRE LOS CAMBIOS PROSÓDICOS POR ELABORACIÓN LOLA PONS RODRÍGUEZ.....	411
CALIDAD DE LA ESCRITURA EN INFORMES DE PRÁCTICA PROFESIONAL: UNA APROXIMACIÓN DESDE LA LINGÜÍSTICA EMPÍRICA COMPUTACIONAL RENÉ VENEGAS, FERNANDA JARAMILLO BECKER.....	417
TABULA GRATULARORIA	427

DOM in Engadinese and the role of referentiality

Georg A. Kaiser, Klaus von Heusinger
Universität Konstanz, Universität zu Köln

INTRODUCTION

This paper contributes a new empirical observation on Differential Object Marking (DOM) in Romance, a topic that the honoree has also dealt with intensively (Kabatek 2016, Kabatek, Obrist and Wall 2021). We will focus on Engadinese Romance, and present a comparative corpus study, based on *Astérix le légionnaire* and its translations into Romance languages, two of which, Spanish and Engadinese, are considered DOM languages. *Astérix* is closely linked to the honoree's biography, because his father was the managing director of the Ehapa publishing house and in this function responsible for the Asterix translations into German for many years.

DOM IN ENGADINESE

The (differential) marking of (direct) objects under specific conditions is a property of many languages (Bossong 1985, 2021). Among the Romance languages, Spanish, Romanian and Sardinian and, to a lesser extent, Catalan, Portuguese and other smaller varieties display this property (Gerards 2023, Irimia and Mardale 2023). As for Raeto-Romance, DOM is only observed in Vallader and Putèr, the two varieties of Engadinese. All other varieties of Raeto-Romance as well as the surrounding languages German

and Italian do not show DOM. This is shown in (1), an example taken from the Bible (Genesis 4:1), where the (human) objects Eve and Cain only receive a special marker (*a(d)*) in Engadine, but not in the other Raeto-Romance varieties, nor in German or Italian.

- (1) a. L'uman però cugnuoschet **ad** *Eva*, sia muglier, (Engadine)

ed ella concepit e parturit **a** Cain
- b. Adam ha giu *Eva* per dunna, ed ella ei vegnida (Sursilvan)

da spronza ed ha parturiu *in fegl Cain*
- c. Adàm à cunesciù *Dieva*, si fëna; (Dolomitic Ladin, Gardanese)

ëila à cunzepì y parturi *Cain*
- d. L'om al cognossè *Eve*, la sô femine; chê e cjapà (Friulian)

sù e e parturì *Cain*
- e. Der Mensch erkannte *Eva*, seine Frau; sie wurde (German)

schwanger und gebar *Kain*
- f. Adamo conobbe *Eva*, sua moglie, la quale (Italian)

concepì e partorì *Caino*
 'Now Adam knew Eve his wife, and she conceived and bore Cain'

As in all other Romance languages with the exception of Romanian, the DOM marker in Engadine is formally identical to the preposition marking the dative. Its use takes place along the (universal) animacy and definiteness scales (cf. von Heusinger and Kaiser 2003): The more animate and definite a (direct) object is, the more likely it is to be marked. In his study on DOM in Vallader, Stimm (1986), drawing on Bossong's (1985) inference scale, shows that the feature [+human] is largely a prerequisite for DOM in Vallader. Furthermore, DOM is mainly triggered when the direct object is a non-clitic personal pronoun, demonstrative pronoun or a proper name (without article) and often also when it is an indefinite and interrogative pronoun. Definite and indefinite noun phrases show optional marking depending on animacy and further referential properties (Stimm 1986, also Widmer 1980 and Roegiest 1986).

COMPARING ENGADINESE DOM WITH SPANISH DOM

In order to understand the language specific parameters for DOM in Engadine, we analyzed a comparative corpus of the French text *Astérix le*

légionnaire and its translations into Romance languages (Kaiser 2024), which also includes the only translation of an Asterix volume into Engadine. We identified in the Engadine translation 38 full human noun phrases as direct objects, of which 25 have DOM. As illustrated in the following examples, DOM is used with a proper name (2), an indefinite noun (3) and an indefinite pronoun (4). The same can be observed in the corresponding sentences from Spanish:

- (2) a. cur cha nus at mnarons inavous **a** *tieu Tragicomix...*
- b. cuando te devolvamos **a** *tu Tragicomix...*
‘when we bring Tragicomix back’
- (3) a. Dalum cha nus vzarons **ad** *ün legiunari*
- b. En cuanto veamos **a** *algún legionario*
‘As soon as we see a legionary’
- (4) a. Eu cugnuosch **a** *minchün, eu!*
- b. y conozco **a** *todo el mundo, yo!*
‘I know everybody, I do!’

At the same time, both Engadine and Spanish exhibit the omission of DOM in combination with [+human] nominal objects. This occurs in cases when the object is a bare plural as in (5) and (6). Bare plurals are on the right edge of the definiteness scale and therefore less likely to be marked by DOM.

- (5) a. sieus uffizchels da recrutamaint tscherchan *guerriers volontaires*
- b. sus reclutadores solicitan *voluntarios*
‘his recruiting officers go around asking for volunteers’
- (6) a. Schi, eu n’ha vis *praschuners* aint il achompamaint da Scipio...
- b. Sí, he visto *varios prisioneros* en el campamento de Escipión...
‘Yes, I did see some prisoners in Scipio’s camp...’

The more interesting cases are those in which both languages differ with respect to the use of DOM. In the cases where DOM only occurs in Engadine, the Spanish translation uses a different construction that does not contain a (human) direct object noun, so that there is no case

in which DOM is used in Engadinese, but omitted in a comparable construction in Spanish. On the other hand, our corpus contains a total of seven cases, where we have a human direct object with DOM in Spanish, but without DOM in the same construction in Engadinese. These cases include a demonstrative direct object, as in (7), two definite ones, as in (8), and an indefinite one, as in (9). The other three instances include some collective nouns, which we cannot discuss here for reasons of space.

- (7) a. No stuvain arrestar *quists homens*
b. Es preciso capturar **a** *esos hombres*
'These men must be captured'
- (8) a. Daspö cura nu's salüda plü *la patruglia?*
b. que ya no sabemos saludar **a** *la patrulla?*
'Why aren't you saluting the patrol?'
- (9) a. Ils duos Gals sun its a tscherchar *ün ami*
b. Los dos Galos se fueron a buscar **a** *un amigo*
'The two Gauls have gone to look for a friend'

We think that the main reason for not using DOM with these direct objects is that their referents are nonreferential or non-specific. Sentence (7) refers to a situation where Caesar is told that some legionaries have left the fort without authorization. Thus, the demonstrative indicates an anaphoric link to a non-specific set of legionaries. In (8) the functional noun *patrol* has also a non-specific reading "whoever is doing the patrolling", and in (9) the indefinite is clearly non-specific, as it is in the scope of the intensional verb *to look for* (cf. Leonetti 2004). These examples suggest that Engadinese might be sensitive to the referential status of the direct object: Non-referential arguments lack DOM, even if they are definite or demonstrative. This claim, based on just three examples, of course still is speculative and needs a broader empirical coverage. Note, however, that there are isolated cases of definite human direct objects without DOM in Spanish, as in (10a) from P. Baroja, *El árbol de la ciencia*, p. 91, and in (10b) from A. Arguedas, *Raza de bronce*, p. 209:

- (10) a. Antoñito buscaba *la mujer rica*
'Antoñito was looking for the rich woman'
b. Los líricos no conocen *el indio*.
'The lyricists do not know the Indian'

In contrast to Engadinese, this omission of DOM in Spanish is only possible if the reference is made to an ideal type or a conceptual object (Fernández Ramírez 1986: 196f.; Laca 1987: 303). We further think that these observations suggest that DOM has more progressed in Spanish than in Engadinese. Evidence for this is provided by the translations of the sentence in (1) in an Old Spanish and Old Vallader Bible: while in Old Spanish both direct (human) objects have DOM, this is not yet the case in Old Vallader (cf. also Stimm 1987):

- (11) a. Mo Adam cognoschet *sia muglier*, & ella concepit, é partorit *Cain*
(Vallader [1679])
- b. E Adán conoció **a** *Eva su mujer*, e concibió e parió **a** *Cain*; (Old Spanish [1450])
‘Now Adam knew Eve his wife, and she conceived and bore Cain’

SUMMARY

In this paper, we have looked at the conditions for DOM in the Raeto-Romance varieties of Vallader and Putèr, spoken in the Inn Valley in Switzerland, which are the only varieties of Raeto-Romance that show DOM. On the basis of a parallel text study, we were able to show that in these varieties, the parameters for DOM are very similar to what occurs in Spanish, a typical DOM language. But we also saw an interesting contrast that we accounted for by assuming that Engadinese is sensitive to the contrast between a referential vs. a non-referential expression, independent of the definiteness status of the direct object. If correct, this is also an interesting finding for the grammaticalization process of DOM in Romance.

REFERENCES

- BOSSONG, Georg (1985): *Empirische Universalienforschung. Differentielle Objektmarkierung in den neuiranischen Sprachen*. Tübingen: Narr.
 — (2021): “DOM and linguistic typology. A personal view”, in Johannes Kabatek, Philipp Obrist and Albert Wall (eds.), *Differential Object Marking in Romance. The third wave*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter, pp. 21-36.
 FERNÁNDEZ RAMÍREZ, Salvador (1986): *Gramática española*, vol. 4.: *El verbo y la oración*. Madrid: Arco/Libros, segunda edición, volumen ordenado y completado por Ignacio Bosque.

- GERARDS, David (2023): "Differential object marking in the Romance languages", in *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics*: pp. 1-57.
- HEUSINGER, Klaus von and KAISER, Georg A. (2003): "Animacy, specificity, and definiteness in Spanish", in Klaus von Heusinger and Georg A. Kaiser (eds.), *Proceedings of the Workshop "Semantic and Syntactic Aspects of Specificity in Romance Languages"*. Konstanz: Universität Konstanz, pp. 41-65.
- IRIMIA, Monica Alexandrina and MARDALE, Alexandru (eds.) (2023): *Differential Object Marking in Romance. Towards microvariation*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- KABATEK, Johannes, OBRIST, Philipp and WALL, Albert (eds.) (2021): *Differential Object Marking in Romance. The third wave*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter.
- KABATEK, Johannes (2016): "Wohin steuert die differentielle Objektmarkierung im Spanischen?", in *Romanistisches Jahrbuch*, 67: pp. 211-239.
- KAISER, Georg A. (2024): "Konstanz parallel text corpus. Astérix", in Georg A. Kaiser, Anja Weingart, Nils Cordes and Svenja Krieger (eds.), *KonCAT. Konstanz Corpus Annotation Tool*. Konstanz: Universität Konstanz.
- LACA, Brenda (1987): "Sobre el uso del acusativo preposicional en español", in *Romanistisches Jahrbuch*, 38: pp. 290-312.
- LEONETTI, Manuel (2004): "Specificity and differential object marking in Spanish", in *Catalan Journal of Linguistics*, 3: pp. 75-114.
- ROEGIEST, Eugeen (1986): "Les marques de l'objet direct en engadinois", in *Mondo Ladino*, 10: pp. 165-181.
- STIMM, Helmut (1986): "Die Markierung des direkten Objekts durch a im Unterengadinischen", in Günter Holtus and Kurt Ringger (eds.), *Raetia antiqua et moderna. W. Theodor Elwert zum 80. Geburtstag*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, pp. 407-448.
- (1987): "Ist der präpositionale Akkusativ des Engadinischen ein Dativ? Zur Genese der Markierung des direkten Objekts im Engadinischen", in Guntram A. Plangg and Maria Iliescu (eds.), *Akten der Theodor-Gartner-Tagung (Rätoromanisch und Rumänisch)*. Innsbruck: Institut für Romanistik, pp. 145-173.
- WIDMER, Ambros (1980): "Igl accusativ preposiziunal el romontsch grischun", in *Annals da la Societat Retorumantscha*, 93: pp. 7-14.